IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS TYLER DIVISION

JARRE JERONCE RHODES,	§	
	§	
Petitioner,	§	
	§	
v.	§	Case No. 6:23-cv-118-JDK-KNM
	§	
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	§	
	§	
Respondent.	§	

ORDER ADOPTING THE REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION OF THE UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Petitioner Jarre Jeronce Rhodes, an inmate proceeding pro se, filed this petition for writ of habeas corpus about matters concerning a federal detainer and parole. He submitted it without payment of the \$5 filing fee or an accompanying application to proceed IFP. The case was referred to United States Magistrate Judge for findings of fact, conclusions of law, and recommendations for the disposition of the case. Docket No. 2.

On June 30, 2023, the Magistrate Judge issued a Report recommending that the petition be dismissed without prejudice for failure to satisfy the fee requirement and to comply with the Court's order to amend and recommending that a certificate of appealability be denied. Docket No. 5. Petitioner has not filed written objections.

This Court reviews the findings and conclusions of the Magistrate Judge de novo if a party objects within fourteen days of the Report and Recommendation. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). In conducting a de novo review, the Court examines the entire record and makes an independent assessment under the law. *Douglass v. United*

Servs. Auto. Ass'n, 79 F.3d 1415, 1430 (5th Cir. 1996) (en banc), superseded on other grounds by statute, 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) (extending the time to file objections from

ten to fourteen days).

Here, Petitioner did not object in the prescribed period. The Court therefore

reviews the Magistrate Judge's findings for clear error or abuse of discretion and

reviews his legal conclusions to determine whether they are contrary to law. See

United States v. Wilson, 864 F.2d 1219, 1221 (5th Cir. 1989), cert. denied, 492 U.S.

918 (1989) (holding that, if no objections to a Magistrate Judge's Report are filed, the

standard of review is "clearly erroneous, abuse of discretion and contrary to law.").

Having reviewed the Magistrate Judge's Report and the record in this case,

the Court finds no clear error or abuse of discretion and no conclusions contrary to

law. Accordingly, the Court hereby ADOPTS the Report and Recommendation of the

United States Magistrate Judge (Docket No. 5) as the findings of this Court.

Petitioner's petition for habeas corpus is hereby **DENIED** and **DISMISSED** without

prejudice for failure to prosecute. The Court **DENIES** a certificate of appealability.

All pending motions are **DENIED** as **MOOT**.

So ORDERED and SIGNED this 1st day of August, 2023.

JEREMYD. KERNODLE

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE